

JANE C. DOE,	:	IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
	:	
Plaintiff	:	OF LUZERNE COUNTY
	:	
vs.	:	CIVIL ACTION—SUPPORT
	:	
	:	DOMESTIC RELATIONS SECTION
JOHN C. DOE,	:	
	:	
Defendant	:	NO. XX OF 2000
	:	PACSES CASE NO. 416101882

AND

JANE C. DOE,	:	IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
	:	
Plaintiff	:	OF LUZERNE COUNTY
	:	
vs.	:	CIVIL ACTION—LAW
	:	
	:	IN DIVORCE
JOHN C. DOE,	:	
	:	
Defendant	:	NO. 746-C OF 2000

**AFFIDAVIT
OF TRUTH**

Comes now your Affiant, JOHN DOE, an individual, not a creation of any State by any machination, who affirms and attests to the truthfulness of statements made herein and makes these statements believing the same to be true, correct, complete and not misleading, in the above captioned Case:

**AFFIDAVIT FOR REPORT
OF
CRIMINAL ACTIVITY
WITHIN THIS CASE
COMMITTED IN LUZERNE COUNTY
BEGINNING ON 17 MAY 2001 UNTIL THE PRESENT TIME**

1. Affiant states that, during the DRS Conference of 17 May 2001, with L. Pugh presiding, Arthur F. Silverblatt, Esquire, as an Officer of the Court, made the following unfounded and slanderous accusation against Affiant, to wit, “You are a Goddamned liar”. Furthermore, said Attorney made the following threat against your Affiant by stating, “I am going to make your life a living hell”

2. Your Affiant, having first hand knowledge of the facts, believes that a Crime of Falsification or Attempted Theft has occurred on the 17 May 2001, by the Court and/or its Officers purposely stating that “based upon the Court’s determination that the Payee’s [Plaintiff’s] monthly net income is \$1328.25 and that the Payor’s [Affiant, Defendant’s] monthly net income is \$6666.67, it is hereby ordered ... ” [see “Exhibit A”, attached, page 3/5 , “Order of the Court”]

3. Affiant states that never, at any time or in any location, has he ever had a “monthly net income [of] \$6,666.67” as reported by this Court and/or its Officers. This equates to a yearly income in excess of \$80,000.04. Further, Affiant states that the “Payee’s monthly net income is \$1,328.25”, equalling a yearly income in excess of \$15,939, is a Falsification based on no evidence of employment, unsupported by any records of income, and is not, as DRS and the Plaintiff’s attorney, AF Silverblatt, would propose as based on the 1999 1040 of the Plaintiff because to date, 27 June 2003, it has not been delivered to Affiant as demanded because it has neither been created nor filed

4. Affiant believes that this is a Falsification, and this Instrument is, in fact a Felonious Instrument, being used in an Official proceeding that makes it misleading to all government officials and any others who view this Instrument because there is an untrue statement herein that allows all the other opinions to stand as valid. The \$6666.67 is a fictitious and fraudulent number whose existence can not be proven, vide infra # 10

5. Affiant further states that the \$2025/month for child support has been accepted by both DRS in Harrisburg and Payee in Bear Creek, Pa from May of 2001, that included an “arrears” payment that was “due in full IMMEDIATELY” [sic] in reference to the month of April 2001, said April being before the 17 May 2001 hearing ever took place, until the present June 2003, for a total of twenty-seven (27) payments, without prior complaint or charge of Contempt of Court

6. Affiant further charges the Court and/or its Officers with Criminal Falsification of an Official Proceeding Record, Perjury, Attempted Theft, Theft by Deception, and Larceny by Trick

7. Affiant further believes that this Criminal Act by the Court and/or its Officer and DRS includes Mail Fraud, a Federal Crime, a minimum of 27 counts (vide supra #5) because the United States Postal Service was used to deliver all Instruments, payment notices, etc. to Affiant, his attorney, Payee, and Payee’s attorneys

8. Affiant further states that Attorney Arthur F. Silverblatt, Esquire, et al., who was present during the aforementioned Crime (vide supra, #2), and who had sufficient financial documentation from Affiant, was unable to provide proof of a “monthly net

income” of any amount and, as an Officer of the Court, is herein named as a Coconspirator in said Crime, and all those that have followed (vide supra, #4, 5, &6)

9. Affiant notices this Court and/or its Officer that said Instrument was executed within the County of Luzerne, State of Pennsylvania, Court of Common Pleas, Domestic Relations Services, on the 17th day of May 2001, and that said Instrument was prepared and bears the official signature of MUROSKI, Judge [see “Exhibit A” Page 4 of 4, presented to this Court by AF Silverblatt, Esquire, et al.] who is also named as a Conspirator in this Report of Criminal Activity

10. Affiant further states that a letter from DRS employee Mr. L. Pugh indicates that he assigned the “monthly net income [of] \$6666.67”. Mr. Pugh is not certified in assigning earning potential. From the Transcript of November 20th, 2001 [original page 152 of Transcript attached as “Exhibit B”]:

MR. SILVERBLATT: I think there is a letter in the file, I think there is a letter in the file there should be a letter in the file, and if not I will make it a part that I sent to Lew Pugh in which I asked Mr. Pugh whether his recommendation of 2,000 a month and requirement that he pay 100 percent of the tuition was based upon the 1999 tax return or was it based upon Mr. Doe's earning capacity? **And he [Pugh] responded by saying it was based upon his earning capacity.** [emphasis added]

11. Affiant states that an “Earning Potential Evaluation” was, in fact, preformed by Mr. William E. Walker, certified in this area, and the choice of the Plaintiff’s Attorney, AF Silverblatt, in July 2002, and the average yearly income was stated as \$26,225. Compared with Mr. Pugh’s figure of \$80,000.04 that this Court and/or its Officers has implemented from the inception of the payments, the Court and/or its Officers has stolen a minimum of \$35,122 in over-payments. [Calculated as follows: $(\$2025 \times 25 \text{ months} = 50,625) - (\$697/m \times 25 = 17,425) + [(\$1658 \times 2m = \$3,316) - (\$697 \times 2m = \$1,394)]$] [See “Exhibit C” for Affiant’s Evaluation, page 4]. Eight of the 10 careers listed would require additional educational expenses and licensure in order to achieve the extrapolated \$26,225 income

12. Affiant further states that Master Paula DeJoseph refused to file her Report until, on 01 April 03 at 0921, I filed a Rule to Show Cause [vide infra, “Exhibits F” and “G”], and that said Report was composed, written, printed, filed, and posted to me that very same day proving duplicity and complicity in this case with prejudicial bias towards the Complainant so Complainant could receive substantially more Court-extorted funds than would be the case with implementation of the Recommendations of the Report [vide infra, “Exhibit H”]. Furthermore, the Recommendations exceed by \$4,015 per year the Earning Potential of \$26,225 by the expert Evaluator, WE Walker. Affiant, therefore, names Paula DeJoseph, Esquire as a Coconspirator in this Criminal Act, based upon Judge Muroski’s “Order of the Court” dated 17 May 2001, vide supra, #2,3, 4, and as it relates to Affiant’s purported financial income as declared by Judge Muroski.

13. Affiant further states that, on 11 November 2001, AF Silverblatt, Esquire, stated that an activity the Affiant was previously engaged in was “illegal and unlawful [page 73 of Transcript] then, looking towards Affiant’s “earning capacity” states that Affiant should engage in said activity [pages 73, 111 & 112 of Transcript, Exhibit D]:

p. 73: MR. SILVERBLATT: Your client created this mess. **It is illegal, it's unlawful**

p. 111 & 112: [Witness/Affiant] I just finished telling you about XYZ PTO being changed to an LLC because I didn't want any difficulties with the government. **I am not going for you, or for anyone else, set up an offshore account.**

Q You already have, Mr. Doe.

A **No. You are looking to earning capacity. I not going to employ myself as setting up offshore bank accounts for you or anyone else.**

Q What about for tax avoidance as opposed to tax evasion, Mr. Doe, isn't that perfectly legal?

A As it relates to what?

Q To offshore banking?

A I stand by my statement. I am not going to be self employed or work for anyone to set up offshore banking.

14. Affiant believes AF Silverblatt was compelling Affiant to conspire to defraud the IRS by coercion of Affiant as it relates to “earning capacity” and to engage in the activity that he, Silverblatt, defines as being illegal

15. In the matter of the Order of the Court to pay 100% of the private school education of his children, Affiant believes that the Court and/or its Officers has violated the purported Separation of Church and State clause of the Constitution of the united states by ordering Affiant to pay for Catholic school tuition. Furthermore, Affiant has never agreed to his children attending Catholic school and Affiant is being denied his personal religious beliefs for the raising of his children, by their mother, and that being imposed upon Affiant by said Court [See :”Exhibit A” page 3 of 4]

16. Furthermore, Affiant believes that his right to form and enter into contracts, that is guaranteed under Article 1 Section 10 of the Constitution of the united states and that can not be abridged by any state law, has been violated by Judge Michael Conahan’s decision of 23 December 2002 to invalidate three contracts in the above captioned case, citing no precedence or reasoning in said decision

17. Affiant states that the Plaintiff, Jane C Doe, having first hand knowledge of and access to Affiant’s financial and employment status during the sixteen (16) years of cohabitation, is a Coconspirator in this Criminal Act and has fostered same through continuous false testimony in various hearings during said case, e.g., Plaintiff is asked:

1 Q. Did Mr. Doe ever work?

2 A. No.

when in fact Affiant had worked for both of Plaintiff’s brother-in-laws during that time [see Page 7 of Page 7 to Page 10 of Conahan Hearing, Part 2, 25 July 2002, “Exhibit E ”].

18. Affiant states that the Domestic Relations Service, aka "DRS", and, more specifically Affiant's duly appointed case worker Francis L. Beach, has refused to grant an appointment with me to discuss the new situation as it relates to the Master's Report and Recommendations. This request was made in writing on 14 April 2003, posted USPS Certified return receipt # 7002 0510 0000 6160 3722, signed for by R. Grabowski, and to date has not been scheduled.

19. Affiant also states that, with the Recommendations now in place at the time of this document, 27 June 2003, DRS and SCDU have falsified the Credit balance in the last two statements of account to the amount of \$1,291.00 per statement for a total of \$2582.00, and contrary to the amount issued in the Recommendations by the Master. The FULL monthly amount of \$1658 WITHOUT the PERMITTED monthly deduction of \$332, has been paid (PNC cheque numbers 2540/May and 2544/Jun) and yet the aforesaid amount of \$2582 has been removed from the credit.

I have requested explanation from FL Beach, USPS Cert # 7002 0510 0000 6106 3654 , with copy to JM Davis USPS Cert # 7002 0510 0000 6106 3647 . To date, no reply has been received. Therefore, Affiant charges the Court and/or its Officers and DRS and /or SCDU with Criminal Falsification of an Official Record, Perjury, Attempted Theft, Theft by Deception, Theft by (Arthur) Andersonian Accounting Practices, and Larceny by Trick that continues with the new Recommendations filed on 01 April 2003. [See "Exhibit I".]

Further, Affiant saith not.

John Doe as witness to a crime

